## **CHAPTER 6: BIOGEOCHEMICAL CYCLES**

#### THE EARTH: ASSEMBLAGE OF ATOMS OF THE 92 NATURAL ELEMENTS

- Most abundant elements: oxygen (in solid earth!), iron (core), silicon (mantle), hydrogen (oceans), nitrogen, carbon, sulfur…
- The elemental composition of the Earth has remained essentially unchanged over its 4.5 Gyr history
	- Extraterrestrial inputs (e.g., from meteorites, cometary material) have been relatively unimportant
	- Escape to space has been restricted by gravity
- *• Biogeochemical cycling* of these elements between the different reservoirs of the Earth system determines the composition of the Earth's atmosphere and oceans, and the evolution of life

## **HISTORY OF EARTH'S ATMOSPHERE**



## Evolution of oxygen and ozone over Earth's history



## **Comparing the atmospheres of Earth and Venus**



## **BIOGEOCHEMICAL CYCLING OF ELEMENTS: examples of major processes**

**Physical exchange, redox chemistry, biochemistry are involved**



#### Change in molecular form of an element by redox reactions



An atom minimizes energy by filling lowest-energy orbitals in its outermost (valence) electron shell: this is done by acquiring or donating electrons through bonding First valence shell has 2 electrons; second has 8; third has 18 (but 8 low-energy),…

In periodic table, atomic number gives number of electrons in neutral/unbound atom: this corresponds to oxidation state zero (0) for that element. Oxidation state becomes negative if atom acquires electrons, positive if it donates.

Some handy rules:

- A neutral molecule has total oxidation number 0
- Bound oxygen has oxidation state -2
- Bound hydrogen has oxidation state +1

#### Periodic table of elements showing atomic numbers



## OXIDATION STATES OF NITROGEN

N has 5 electrons in valence shell  $\Rightarrow$ 9 oxidation states from –3 to +5



#### **Increasing oxidation number (nitrogen is oxidized)**



**Decreasing oxidation number (nitrogen is reduced)**

## **Questions**

- 1. Although volcanoes don't emit  $O_2$  they do emit a lot of oxygen (as  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$ ). Both H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> photolyze in the upper atmosphere. Photolysis of H<sub>2</sub>O eventually results in production of atmospheric  $O<sub>2</sub>$  and this is thought to be responsible for the presence of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  in the atmosphere before the onset of photosynthesis. However, photolysis of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  does not result in production of  $O<sub>2</sub>$ . Why this difference?
- 2. How many net molecules of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  are needed to oxidize N<sub>2</sub> to HNO<sub>3</sub>?

### **Elementary vs. stoichiometric reactions**

An **elementary reaction** is one that arises from the actual collision of reactants, from which the kinetics can be deduced:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\begin{pmatrix}\nA \\
B\n\end{pmatrix} & A + B \rightarrow C + D \\
\hline\nB\n\end{array}\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nA + B \rightarrow C + D \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{ccc}\nd[A] \\
\hline\end{array}\n=\frac{d[B]}{dt} = \frac{d[C]}{dt} = \frac{d[D]}{dt} = k[A][B]
$$

A **stoichiometric reaction** is one that describes the net outcome of a reaction sequence, without any information on kinetics or mechanism. For example, combustion of a hydrocarbon  $C_xH_y$  is described stoichiometrically by

$$
C_xH_y + (x+y/4) O_2 \rightarrow x CO_2 + y/2 H_2O
$$

## **THE NITROGEN CYCLE: MAJOR PROCESSES**



## **Ammonia formation by Haber-Bosch process (1909)**

 $N_2$  +  $3H_2$   $\frac{100 \text{ rad of 2}}{2}$  2NH<sub>3</sub> high *T, p* metal catalyst

enabled 20th century population growth through fertilizer production



#### Fritz Haber **Carl Bosch** Carl Bosch



## **BOX MODEL OF THE NITROGEN CYCLE**



## **Global human perturbation to nitrogen cycle**

**Global anthropogenic N fixation now exceeds natural:**

**Population, billions**



Resulting N deposition (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) modifies ecosystem function, C storage

**Annual N deposition**



*Zhang et al. [2012]*

## **N<sub>2</sub>O: LOW-YIELD PRODUCT OF BACTERIAL NITRIFICATION AND DENITRIFICATION**

#### **Important as**

- **source of NO<sub>x</sub> radicals in stratosphere**
- **greenhouse gas**



## **FAST OXYGEN CYCLE: ATMOSPHERE-BIOSPHERE**

• Source of O<sub>2</sub>: photosynthesis

 $nCO_2 + nH_2O \rightarrow (CH_2O)_n + nO_2$ 

**• Sink: respiration/decay**  $(CH_2O)_n + nO_2 \rightarrow nCO_2 + nH_2O$ **O2 CO o[rgC](http://iconbazaar.com/bars/contributed/pg04.html) litter orgC Net photosynthesis by green plants: 200 Pg O/yr decay O2 lifetime: 6000 years 1.2×106 Pg O**

## **…but abundance of organic carbon in biosphere/soil/ocean**  reservoirs is too small to control atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> levels



## **SLOW OXYGEN CYCLE: ATMOSPHERE-LITHOSPHERE**



## **Questions**

- 1. Denitrification seems at first glance to be a terrible waste for the biosphere, jettisoning precious fixed nitrogen back to the atmospheric  $N<sub>2</sub>$  reservoir. In fact, denitrification is essential for maintaining life in the interior of continents. Why?
- 2. Would shutting down of photosynthesis eventually deplete atmospheric  $O_2$ ?

## Increase in atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from fossil fuel combustion



*IPCC [2007, 2014]*

## Rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> vs. latitude, 2001-2012



**https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/globalview/**

## Temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> records in Antarctic ice cores



Vostok ice core (East Antarctica)

## **CO2 over the last 60 million years**



**IPCC [2014]**

#### **INTERANNUAL TREND IN CO<sub>2</sub> INCREASE**



On average, only 60% of emitted CO<sub>2</sub> remains in the atmosphere – but **there is large interannual variability in this fraction**

## Equilibrium constants

If we have a forward reaction  $A + B \rightarrow C + D$  (rate constant  $k_f$ )

then we must have the backward reaction  $C + D \rightarrow A + B$  (rate constant  $k_b$ )

If the backward reaction is negligible then the forward reaction is said *irreversible*

If the backward reaction is significant then the forward reaction is said *reversible.*

If the backward reaction is fast then the species may be in *equilibrium*:

$$
A + B \xrightarrow{\bullet} C + D \qquad \frac{d[A]}{dt} = k_b [C][D] - k_f [A][B] = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{[C][D]}{[A][B]} = \frac{k_f}{k_b} = K
$$
equilibrium constant constant

Ionic dissociation reactions in water are fast and best described by equilibrium constants:

 $AB \longrightarrow A^+ + B^-$ 





## **EQUILIBRIUM PARTITIONING OF CO<sub>2</sub> BETWEEN ATMOSPHERE AND GLOBAL OCEAN**

**Equilibrium for present-day ocean:**

$$
F = \frac{N_{CO2}(g)}{N_{CO2}(g) + N_{CO2}(aq)} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{V_{oc}PK_{H}}{N_a} \left(1 + \frac{K_1}{[H^+]} + \frac{K_1K_2}{[H^+]^2}\right)} = 0.03
$$

 $\Rightarrow$  **only 3% of total inorganic carbon is currently in the atmosphere** 

**But CO<sub>2</sub>(g)**  $\rightarrow$   $\Rightarrow$  [H+]  $\rightarrow$   $\Rightarrow$  **F**  $\rightarrow$ *m.* positive feedback to increasing CO<sub>2</sub>

**Pose problem differently: how does a CO<sub>2</sub> addition** *dN* **partition between the atmosphere and ocean at equilibrium (whole ocean)?**

$$
f = \frac{dN_{CO2}(g)}{dN_{CO2}(g) + dN_{CO2}(aq)} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{V_{oc}PK_{H}K_{1}K_{2}}{N_{a}\beta\left[H^{+}\right]^{2}}} = 0.28
$$

 $\Rightarrow$  28% of added CO<sub>2</sub> remains in atmosphere!

## **ADDITIONAL LIMITATION OF CO<sub>2</sub> UPTAKE: SLOW OCEAN TURNOVER (~ 200 years)**



Uptake by oceanic mixed layer only ( $V_{OC}$ = 3.6x10<sup>16</sup> m<sup>3</sup>) would give  $f = 0.94$  (94% of added  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  remains in atmosphere)

## **MEAN COMPOSITION OF SEAWATER**



# **for [Alk] =**  $2.3x10^{-3}$  **M**



## **LIMIT ON OCEAN UPTAKE OF CO<sub>2</sub>: Equilibrium calculation CONSERVATION OF ALKALINIT**

**Charge balance in the ocean:**  $[HCO<sub>3</sub>]+2[CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>]=[Na<sup>+</sup>]+[K<sup>+</sup>]+2[Mg<sup>2+</sup>]+$ 2[Ca<sup>2+</sup>] - [Cl<sup>-</sup>] – 2[SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>] – [Br<sup>-</sup>]

 $\mathsf{The}\text{ }$  *alkalinity*  $[\mathsf{Alk}] \approx [\mathsf{HCO}_{3}] + 2[\mathsf{CO}_{3}^{2}] = \frac{1}{2}$ **2.3x10-3M is the excess base relative to the**  CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system

It is conserved upon addition of CO<sub>2</sub>  $\Rightarrow$  **uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> is limited by the existing**  $\text{Cov}_2^3$  + CO3  $\text{Cov}_3^3$  +  $\text{H}_2^3$ O  $\text{Cov}_3^2$ 

**Increasing AIK Teg Gires + Co3 of ution of** sediments:<br>...WHICH takes place over a time scale **of thousands of years**

#### Observed ocean acidification



Data: Mauna Loa (ftp://aflp.cmdl.noaa.gov/products/trcnds/co2/co2\_nnn\_mlo.txt) ALOHA (http://hahana.socst.hawaii.edu/hot/products/HOT\_surface\_CO2.txt) Ref: J.E. Dore et al. 2009. Physical and biogeochemical modulation of ocean acidification in the central North Pacific. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:12235-12240.

## **LAND-ATMOSPHERE CARBON CYCLING: MAJOR PROCESSES**



## **Land-atmosphere global carbon cycling**



**Inventories in PgC Flows in PgC a-1**

#### **Reforestation in action:**

**Harvard Forest in Petersham, central Mass. – then and now**



### **Decrease in O<sub>2</sub> as constraint on land uptake of CO<sub>2</sub>**



**IPCC [2014]**



## Current net uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> by biosphere (1.4 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) is **small residual of large atmosphere-biosphere exchange**



## **Carbon budget, 1750 present**



**IPCC, 2014**

Year

## **Future projections of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions**

IPCC Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP)



#### There is hope: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are flattening out globally, decreasing in developed countries



Global Carbon Project

## **Questions**

- 1. From the standpoint of controlling atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , is it better to heat your home with a wood stove or by natural gas?
- 2. You wish to fly from Boston to California on a commercial flight that consumes 100,000 lbs of jet fuel for the trip. The company offers - as an extra charge on your ticket - to make your personal trip carbon-neutral by planting trees. Does this seem practical, in terms of the number of trees that would need to be planted? And is this a reasonable long-term proposition for mitigating your personal "carbon footprint"?