An approximation algorithm for finding the zero-forcing number of a graph

Jeannette Janssen

Dalhousie University

Joint work with Ben Cameron, Rogers Mathew and Zhiyuan (Owen) Zhang

AIMS Workshop 2006

Process: Vertices coloured black or white

In each skp, a blach vertex with exactly one white neighbour colours that neighbour black

Zero forcing set Initial set

of black vertices so that process ends with all vertices black



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲ 필▶

3

5900

Z(G) = min size of a zero forang set





For all graphs G Z(G) >, S(G)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ● のへで

Z(G) not monotone



Z(G) = 3

Z(G) = 4

2(G) = 2

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 三▶ ◆ 三▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Zero Forcing

Combinatorial matrix theory 3 G(A)A

M(G)=Max Nullity of A = Max & Null (A) : G(A) = G }

SQ (V

 $M(G) \leq Z(G)$

Forcing chains



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Forcing chains



Forcing chains form a partition of the vertices into induced paths

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 三▶ ◆ 三▶ ● のへで

Chain twists

Given a set of (or unted) disjoint paths Pr Pz ... Pz, a chain twist is a set of edges X, Y2 X2Y3 Xt, Yt, X2Y1 So that Xi, Y: on P: and Yi is further from the Start vertex of P; than X:

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへで



Chain twists



Forcing chains cannot have a chain fivist

Proper path partition (ppp)

Definition

A proper path partition (ppp) of a graph G is a partition of the vertices of G into paths so that each path is induced, and an orientation of each path so that there is no chain twist.

Theorem (JJ, Cameron, Zhang)

For each graph G, $z(G) \neq k$ if and only if G has a proper path partition consisting of k paths.

New proofs of old results: forcing chains are reversible

Reversing the orientation of all paths cannot create chain turits



=> End vertices of forcing chain, also form a forcing set.

New proofs of old results: forcing chains are reversible



New proofs of old results: $\delta(G) = z(G) = 2$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

New proofs of old results: unit interval graphs

Unit interval graph V, V2 ---- Vn vertices If V. ~V; izj, then Vi, Vite,, V; clique

Edge clique cover: collection of cliques covering all edges. ccca) = size of smallest edge clique cover

New proofs of old results: unit interval graphs

Huang, Chang, Jeh 2010 For all graphs G $z(G) \ge n - cc(G)$

Only me path in a ppp can contain 2 vertices in a clique - the others at most 1 clique ppp

New proofs of old results: unit interval graphs Huang, Chang, Yeh 2010

For and interval graphs G, Z(G) = n - cc(G)Take "furthest" edge in every clique of the cover. These form a ppp of size n-cc(G) n=11cc = 74 paths



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = のへで

Approximation algorithm

A. Azaami, 2007 Finding Z(G) is NP-Hard B. Brimkov, J. Hichs Efficient algorithms for finding Z(G) of some special classes of graphs Sean English - visit 2019 JJ - Mathew Approximation algorithm, mistake approx.ratio Pw+1 in abstract pw = path - width

Forts

C. Fast 2017 A fort is a set of vertices I so that every vertex not in S has zero or >, 2 neighbours in S. - Every fort must contain a vertex from the forcing set - Forcing set = set of vertices intersecting all forts

Approximation algorithm

JJ, Mathew Algorithm which returns · Zero forcing set B · collection of disjoint forts F So that IBI = (pw + 1) IFI where pw = path width of graph Approximation ratio (DW+1) (Z(G) 7, IFI)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ● 回 ● のへで

Path decomposition

G = (V, E)Collection of "bags" X1, X2......Xp $X_i \subseteq V$ · for all {u,v} E, such that Fi (u, v? (X) for all u eV, bags containing u are contiguous b 1 d fg def abc 0 ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - 釣��

Path decomposition



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ ≧ のへぐ

Path decomposition

"Nice" path de composition: $Y_{i} \quad X_{i} = X_{i-1} \cup \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcalL \cup \mathcalL \cup \mathcalL \cup \mathcalL \cup \mathcalL$



Note: every bag is a vertex cut

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● の < @

Input: graph G = (V, E)Nice path decomposition χ. Χρ Maintain: · Current bag Xt $\overline{X}_t = \bigcup_i X_i$ · Ppp Bof G [Xt] So that each vertex in Xt is endpoint of path Collection F of disjoint forts in G[Xt] so that $|B| \leq (pw+1)|F|$

Iterative step • G $\left[\bigcup_{i=k}X_{i}\right]$ = (g | • t'> t smallest so that XLUXL' not forcing set in Gt, t' · W= vertices in Grt, t' remaining white



SQ (V

Note: · Wis a fort • W contains no vertices from X_t χ_{t} 00 Grezz' • Walisjonnt fron ang fort in F > Add Wto F

• X' UX is forcing set in Grb. 61 • X+'-2 = X+ U {u} · Replace B by forcing chains of this set - reversed • 1Bl increases by $|X_{t'-1}| = pw+i$



Further work

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Approximation algorithm derived from tree decomposition.
- Zero forcing number of geometric graphs?
- More applications of proper path partitions.

Thank you!