

## INJECTIVES IN TOPOI, I:

### REPRESENTING COALGEBRAS AS ALGEBRAS

F.E.J. Linton (Wesleyan U.) and R. Paré (Dalhousie U.)

Call a cotriple  $G$  on a topos  $\mathbb{E}$  with subobject classifier  $\Omega$  dually algebraic if the composite  $(\mathbb{E}_G)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{(V_G)^{\text{op}}} \mathbb{E}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\Omega(-)} \mathbb{E}$  is tripleable,  $V_G$  being the  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued "underlying" functor on the  $G$ -coalgebras (cf. [ZTB]). During what has come to be known as the Lawvere-Tierney topos year 1969-70 at Dalhousie, J.R. Isbell raised the question whether all cotriples on the topos of sets are dually algebraic (see [IGF], p. 588, *l.* 4\*). By April, 1970, we had a composite tripleableness lemma ([LDC], Th. 2; see [MAT], Exer. 3.1.17, for a more polished version) informing us that they are ([LDC], Cor. 5).

In this lemma, as against the earlier ones of Barr and Beck, the crucial ingredient is a requirement (ZHD) on the middle category, roughly, that practically all objects be projective. In 1973, consequently, when it was clear that  $\Omega(-): \mathbb{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  is always tripleable ([PCT], p. 558), the same lemma automatically revealed, for any topos  $\mathbb{E}$ , that all cotriples on  $\mathbb{E}$  must be dually algebraic -- provided (coZHD) each nonzero object of  $\mathbb{E}$  is injective.

Here we give the latest full proof of that lemma. Moreover, for those content merely to know all indexed cotriples (à la [RAF]) on  $\mathbb{E}$  are dually algebraic, we weaken the seemingly overrestrictive coZHD proviso: it suffices that each object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  be internally injective over its own support  $\sigma X$ , i.e., as an object of  $\mathbb{E}|_{\sigma X}$ . It suffices -- but it is also necessary; and, as a corollary, we establish that the coZHD proviso, sufficient for all cotriples on  $\mathbb{E}$  to be dually algebraic, is likewise necessary as well. Thus:

Theorem A. Necessary and sufficient for all cotriples on the topos  $\mathbb{E}$  to be dually algebraic is that each nonzero object  $X \neq 0$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  be injective.

Theorem B. Necessary and sufficient for all indexed cotriples on  $\mathbb{E}$  to be dually algebraic is that each object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  be internally injective in the open subtopos  $\mathbb{E}|_{\sigma X}$ , where  $\sigma X$  is the support of  $X$ .

Over the years, the research embodied herein was supported, in part and at times, by the Izaak Walton Killam Trust, Dalhousie U., Wesleyan U., McGill U., the National Research Council of Canada (grant # A-8141), and the U.S. National Science Foundation (MCS 76-10615): we ~~gratefully~~ thank them all.

1. The ZHD-Lemma and Theorem A (sufficiency proof). The ZHD-Lemma below, of which the sufficiency assertion in Theorem A is a direct consequence, makes use of the following definitions.

(1.1) Definitions. (i) An object  $Q$  of a category  $\mathcal{B}$  is an artificial terminal object ("Q is AT") if  $Q$  is terminal in  $\mathcal{B}$  and every  $\mathcal{B}$ -morphism with domain  $Q$  is an isomorphism ("AT" is "isolated" in [MAT], Exer. 3.1.10).  
 (ii) The category  $\mathcal{B}$  is ZHD (think "zero homological dimension") if all objects, save perhaps those that are AT, act projective when tested against coequalizers; if  $\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$  is ZHD, we say  $\mathcal{B}$  is coZHD.

[It is clear that a topos is ZHD if and only if it satisfies the axiom of choice (AC). H.-M. Meyer has observed (see Satz 6.4 in [MDT]) that a topos is well-pointed if and only if it is coZHD and Boolean. Sets, pointed sets, modules over a semisimple ring, and their full subcategories, all constitute examples of categories that are both ZHD and coZHD.]

(1.2) ZHD-Lemma. Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  be categories, with  $\mathcal{C}$  tripleable over  $\mathcal{B}$  via  $V: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  tripleable over  $\mathcal{A}$  via  $U: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Assume  $\mathcal{B}$  is ZHD. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is tripleable over  $\mathcal{A}$  via the composite

$$U \circ V: \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{V} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{U} \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof.  $U \circ V$  reflects isomorphisms because  $U$  and  $V$  do. By the absolute version ([PAC], Th. 7.3) of Beck's tripleableness theorem, we need only show that  $\mathcal{C}$  has and  $U \circ V$  preserves coequalizers of  $(U \circ V)$ -absolute pairs. If

$$(1.2.1) \quad D \xrightarrow{x} W \quad (\text{in } \mathcal{C})$$

$$(1.2.2) \quad UVD \xrightarrow{y} UVW \xrightarrow{p} P \quad (\text{in } \mathcal{A})$$

depict such a pair and accompanying absolute coequalizer data, find a map  $q$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , with  $Uq \cong p$ , coequalizing the  $U$ -absolute pair  $(Vx, Vy)$ :

$$(1.2.3) \quad VD \rightrightarrows VW \xrightarrow{q} Q \quad (\text{in } \mathcal{B}).$$

Where  $T$  is (the functor component of) the triple associated with the tripleable functor  $V$ , the lemma below will assure that both  $T$  and  $T \circ T$  preserve the coequalizer diagram (1.2.3). It follows (see [LCA], Prop. 3) that  $\mathcal{C}$  will have a map  $r$  coequalizing (1.2.1) and satisfying  $Vr \cong q$ ; the inference  $UVr \cong p$  then being immediate, the proof will be complete.

(1.3) Lemma. Let  $T = (T, \eta, \mu)$  be a triple on the ZHD category  $\mathcal{B}$ , and let  $U: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a functor reflecting coequalizers of  $U$ -absolute pairs and having a left adjoint  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , with counit  $\epsilon: FU \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

Then not only is every diagram

$$(1.3.1) \quad E \rightrightarrows X \longrightarrow Q \quad (\text{in } \mathcal{B})$$

whose transform under U is an absolute coequalizer diagram in  $\mathcal{A}$  already a coequalizer diagram in  $\mathcal{B}$ , but so are its transforms under T and  $T \circ T$ .

Proof. We distinguish two cases, according as  $Q$  is or is not AT. If  $Q$  is AT, merely apply the following observation to  $T$ ,  $T \circ T$ , and (1.3.1): If  $D: \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is any diagram with AT colimit  $Q$ , then any functor  $S: \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  admitting a natural transformation  $\lambda: \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow S$  satisfies  $SQ \cong Q$  and preserves the colimit of  $D$ . Indeed,  $\lambda_Q$  is an isomorphism; moreover, for any cone  $S \circ D \cdots \rightrightarrows B$ , the cone  $D \cdots \rightrightarrows B$  induced by composition with  $\lambda$  factors uniquely through  $Q$ , whence  $B \cong Q$  and  $SQ \cong \text{colim}(S \circ D)$ .

If instead  $Q$  is not AT, neither is  $X$  or  $E$ , and all three are projective; it will then turn out that (1.3.1) is an absolute coequalizer diagram, which amply fulfills our requirement. Writing  $G = FU$ , consider the beginnings of a  $G$ -resolution of (1.3.1):

$$(1.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} GGE & \rightrightarrows & GGX & \longrightarrow & GGQ \\ G\epsilon_E \downarrow & \epsilon_{GE} & G\epsilon_X \downarrow & \epsilon_{GX} & G\epsilon_Q \downarrow \epsilon_{GQ} \\ GE & \rightrightarrows & GX & \longrightarrow & GQ \\ \epsilon_E \downarrow & & \epsilon_X \downarrow & & \epsilon_Q \downarrow \\ E & \rightrightarrows & X & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

Using the unit of adjunction  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow UF$ , note that  $U$  transforms each column of (1.3.2) into a split coequalizer diagram in  $\mathcal{A}$  (compare the discussion around display formula (5) in [MCL], Ch. VI, §7). As each column is then a coequalizer diagram with projective coequalizer, it follows that

(1.3.3) the maps  $\epsilon_E$ ,  $\epsilon_X$ , and  $\epsilon_Q$  are split epimorphisms.

Moreover, as the transform of (1.3.1) under  $U$  is absolute, we know that

(1.3.4)  $G$  transforms (1.3.1) into an absolute coequalizer diagram.

The following observation, used again in §2, now concludes the proof:

(1.4) ABS-Lemma. Let  $G$  be any endofunctor on a category  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $\epsilon: G \longrightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$  any natural transformation. Then (1.3.1) is an absolute coequalizer diagram if conditions (1.3.3) and (1.3.4) hold.

Proof. Referring to (1.3.2), the upper two rows are obviously absolute coequalizer diagrams by (1.3.4). But the columns are absolute coequalizer

diagrams, too -- indeed, they are split: writing  $B$  for any one of  $E$ ,  $X$ , or  $Q$ , and choosing a section  $s: B \rightarrow GB$  for  $\epsilon_B$  (available by (1.3.3)), we have a split coequalizer diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} GGB & \xrightarrow{G\epsilon_B} & GB & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_B} & B, \\ & \searrow \epsilon_{GB} & \swarrow s & & \\ & & Gs & & \end{array}$$

as is verified by recording the section equation,  $\epsilon_B \circ s = \text{id}_B$ , and applying  $G$  to obtain  $G\epsilon_B \circ Gs = \text{id}_{GB}$ ; the remaining two splitting equations are but instances of the naturality of  $\epsilon$ :  $\epsilon_{GB} \circ Gs = s \circ \epsilon_B$  and  $\epsilon_B \circ G\epsilon_B = \epsilon_B \circ \epsilon_{GB}$ . Applying any functor to (1.3.2), therefore, we obtain a similar  $3 \times 3$  diagram in which all columns and the upper two rows are coequalizers. But then the  $3 \times 3$  lemma (= Noether Isomorphism Theorem -- the special case of the Fubini Theorem (cf. [MCL], p. 227) asserting that coequalizers commute with coequalizers) assures that the bottom row is a coequalizer, too, whence the lemma.

(1.5) Corollary (Theorem A -- sufficiency). If the topos  $\mathbb{E}$  is coZHD, then every cotriple  $G$  on  $\mathbb{E}$  is dually algebraic.

Proof. It is obvious that  $(V_G)^{\text{op}}: (\mathbb{E}_G)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\text{op}}$  is tripleable, and it is known ([PCT], §2, Th'm) that  $\Omega^{(-)}: \mathbb{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  is tripleable, too. Now just apply the ZHD-Lemma (1.2).

(1.6) Corollary ([LDC]). Every category cotripleable over the category  $\mathbf{S}$  of sets and functions is the dual of a variety.

Proof. By (1.5), cotriples on the coZHD topos  $\mathbf{S}$  are dually algebraic.

2. Internal injectives and Theorem B (sufficiency proof). Properly to understand the basic facts concerning internal injectives, it helps to bear in mind, by way of comparison, that an object  $X$  of a topos  $\mathbb{E}$  is injective (in the usual sense, that every extension problem

$$(2.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{m} & B \\ & \searrow \varphi & \\ & & X \end{array}$$

with  $m$  a monomorphism has a solution  $\tilde{\varphi}: B \rightarrow X$  extending  $\varphi$  along  $m$ , i.e., satisfying  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ m = \varphi$ ) if and only if the functor  $X^{(-)}: \mathbb{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  converts monomorphisms  $m: A \rightarrowtail B$  (in  $\mathbb{E}$ ) to split epimorphisms  $X^m: X^B \rightarrow X^A$ . In fact, because  $\Omega$  and its powers are injective in any topos,  $X$  is injective iff the singleton map  $\{\cdot\}_X: X \rightarrow \Omega^X$  has a retraction; but for each such retraction  $\rho: \Omega^X \rightarrow X$ , the composition

$$X^A \xrightarrow{(\{\cdot\}_X)^A} (\Omega^X)^A \cong \Omega^{X \times A} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}_X \times m} \Omega^{X \times B} \cong (\Omega^X)^B \xrightarrow{o_B} X^B$$

is easily seen to be a section for  $X^m$  when  $m: A \twoheadrightarrow B$  is monic; conversely, if  $X^m$  has a section, passage to global elements shows that each extension problem (2.1.1) has a solution.

We say an object  $X$  of the topos  $\mathbb{E}$  is internally injective if the functor  $X^{(-)}: \mathbb{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  merely preserves (that is, converts monomorphisms in  $\mathbb{E}$  to) epimorphisms. Observe that the (reversible) "deductions"

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} I^*B \rightarrow I^*X \\ 1_I = I^*1 \rightarrow (I^*X)^{I^*B} \cong I^*(X^B) \end{array}}{\Sigma_I 1_I = I \rightarrow X^B} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\text{in } \mathbb{E}|_I) \\ (\text{in } \mathbb{E}|_I) \\ (\text{in } \mathbb{E}) \end{array}$$

set up a 1-to-1 correspondence between maps  $\tilde{\varphi}: I^*B \rightarrow I^*X$  in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$  extending (as we shall say)  $\varphi$  along  $m$  over  $I$  (that is, solving the transform under  $I^*$  of the extension problem (2.1.1)) and maps  $\bar{\varphi}: I \rightarrow X^B$  rendering

$$(2.1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \tilde{\varphi} \downarrow & & \downarrow I^*\varphi \\ X^B & \xrightarrow{X^m} & X^A \end{array}$$

commutative. When  $X^m$  is epic, there are, given  $\varphi: A \rightarrow X$ , diagrams (2.1.2) with  $I \rightarrow 1$  epic (take (2.1.2) to be a pullback, for example); so (2.1.1) has solutions locally (that is, over some  $I$  with support  $\sigma I = 1$ ) when  $X^m$  is epic. In particular, when  $X$  is internally injective,  $X^{\{\cdot\}_X}$  is epic, and the singleton map  $\{\cdot\}_X$  becomes a split monomorphism in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ , for some  $I$  with  $\sigma I = 1$ . But for such  $I$ ,  $I^*X$  is then injective in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ , i.e.,  $X$  is locally injective; and it follows, since  $I^*(X^m) = I^*X^{I^*m}$ , that, for such  $X$  and all maps  $m$  monic in  $\mathbb{E}$ , each  $X^m$  is a locally split epimorphism. Thus internal injectives and local injectives coincide, and all the maps  $X^m$ , for monic maps  $m$ , are epic for  $X$  internally injective because they are locally split -- indeed, they all split in any  $\mathbb{E}|_I$  in which  $I^*X$  is injective. To sum up:

(2.1.3) injectives are internally injective;

(2.1.4) internal injectives are injective locally, and conversely.

It then follows easily that

(2.1.5) an object  $X$  for which  $I^*X$  is internally injective qua object of  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ , for some  $I$  with  $\sigma I = 1$ , is internally injective in  $\mathbb{E}$ ;

and, as each  $J^*$  preserves injectives (because  $\Sigma_J$  preserves monomorphisms),

(2.1.6)  $J^*X$  is internally injective if  $X$  is, for all  $J$  in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

The proof of Theorem B uses the following amusing characterization of dually algebraic cotriples on  $\text{topoi}$ .

(2.2) Proposition. A cotriple  $\mathbb{G} = (G, \epsilon, \delta)$  on a topos  $\mathbb{E}$  is dually algebraic if and only if the functor  $G$  preserves equalizers of coreflexive pairs.

Proof. We adopt the terminology of -- and assume known the results in -- §2 of [PCT]. If  $G$  preserves such equalizers,  $G \circ G$  does too, whence (by the dual of Prop. 3 of [LCA])  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{G}}$  has them and  $V_{\mathbb{G}}$  preserves them. It follows that  $(V_{\mathbb{G}})^{\text{op}}: (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{G}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{\text{op}}$  satisfies the hypotheses of the RTT (cf. [PCT]). But so does the functor  $\Omega^{(-)}: \mathbb{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ , hence so does their composite. So, applying the RTT,  $\mathbb{G}$  is dually algebraic.

Conversely, if  $\mathbb{G}$  is dually algebraic, then, because reflexive pairs in  $\mathbb{E}^{\text{op}}$  are  $\Omega^{(-)}$ -split (cf. [PCT] again), the tripleable composite  $\Omega^{(-)} \circ (V_{\mathbb{G}})^{\text{op}}$  is RTT by Beck's theorem; in particular, it preserves coequalizers of reflexive pairs. But  $\Omega^{(-)}$  reflects such coequalizers, so  $(V_{\mathbb{G}})^{\text{op}}$  preserves them, i.e.,  $V_{\mathbb{G}}$  preserves equalizers of coreflexive pairs. But so does  $V_{\mathbb{G}}$ 's right adjoint, whose composition with  $V_{\mathbb{G}}$  is  $G$ , after all; then so does  $G$ .

The proof of the sufficiency clause in Theorem B is now at hand. It is convenient to say a topos is  $\sigma\text{IZ}$  if it satisfies the condition of Theorem B.

(2.3) Lemma (Theorem B -- sufficiency). Every indexed cotriple  $\mathbb{G} = (G, \epsilon, \delta)$  on a  $\sigma\text{IZ}$  topos is dually algebraic.

Proof. Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be an indexed cotriple on the  $\sigma\text{IZ}$  topos  $\mathbb{E}$ . By (2.2) it suffices to prove  $G$  preserves equalizers of coreflexive pairs. So let

$$(\Leftrightarrow) \quad X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightrightarrows X_3$$

be such an equalizer in  $\mathbb{E}$ . There are four principal steps to take.

Step I. We find an object  $I$  having same support  $\sigma I = \sigma X_1$  as  $X_1$  for which  $I^*$  carries  $(\Leftrightarrow)$  to an absolute equalizer diagram  $I^*(\Leftrightarrow)$  in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ . To do so, we apply (2.1.4) in each topos  $\mathbb{E}|_{\sigma X_i}$  and choose, for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , an object  $I_i$  having support  $\sigma I_i = \sigma X_i$ , for which  $I_i^* X_i$  is injective in  $\mathbb{E}|_{I_i}$ . Writing  $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$ , it is clear that  $\sigma I = \sigma X_1$  and (from the line before (2.1.6)) that  $I^* X_i$  is injective in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ , for each  $i$ . Hence, writing  $\eta_i: X_i \rightarrow \Omega^{(\Omega^{X_i})^1}$  for (the exponential transposes of) the evaluation maps (which are monic because  $\Omega$  is an internal cogenerator), the monomorphisms  $I^*(\eta_i)$  are split in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$  for each  $i$ . Thus, we have at least verified the counterpart of condition (1.3.3) for an eventual application of the dual of the ABS-Lemma (1.4) within  $\mathbb{E}|_I$  to  $\Omega^{(-)}$  and to

the evaluation  $\eta: \text{id} \longrightarrow \Omega^{(-)}$  there. For the counterpart of (1.3.4), note that, being a logical functor,  $I^*: \mathbb{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}|_I$  satisfies  $I^*(\Omega^{(\rightrightarrows)}) \cong \Omega^{I^*(\rightrightarrows)}$ . Then, since  $\Omega^{(\rightrightarrows)}$  is an absolute coequalizer diagram in  $\mathbb{E}$ , it follows that  $\Omega^{I^*(\rightrightarrows)}$  is an absolute coequalizer diagram in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ , whence  $\Omega^{I^*(\rightrightarrows)}$  is an absolute equalizer diagram there, which is (1.3.4). By (1.4), then,  $I^*(\rightrightarrows)$  is an absolute equalizer diagram in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ , as desired.

Step II. Capitalizing on the hypothesis that  $\mathbb{G}$  is indexed, we show that  $I^*$  carries  $G(\rightrightarrows)$ , the transform of  $(\rightrightarrows)$  under  $G$ , to an equalizer diagram in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ . Recall (from [P&S] or [RAF]) that, to be  $\mathbb{E}$ -indexed, a cotriple  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\mathbb{E}$  must, for all  $I$  in  $\mathbb{E}$ , be so accompanied by cotriples  $\mathbb{G}_I$  on  $\mathbb{E}|_I$  ( $\mathbb{G}_1$  being  $\mathbb{G}$ ) that, regardless what the map  $j: J \longrightarrow I$ , each diagram

$$(D(j)) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}|_I & \xrightarrow{G_I} & \mathbb{E}|_I \\ j^* \downarrow & & \downarrow j^* \\ \mathbb{E}|_J & \xrightarrow{G_J} & \mathbb{E}|_J \end{array}$$

commutes to within a specified equivalence modulo which  $j^*$  carries counit to counit and comultiplication to comultiplication. In particular, from the commutativity of  $(D(I \longrightarrow 1))$ , we see that  $I^*(G(\rightrightarrows)) \cong G_I(I^*(\rightrightarrows))$ ; hence, recalling Step I,  $I^*(G(\rightrightarrows))$  is an (absolute!) equalizer diagram in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ .

Step III. Since the unique map  $I \longrightarrow \sigma I = \sigma X_1$  is epic, pulling back along it gives a functor  $\mathbb{E}|_{\sigma X_1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}|_I$  that, being a faithful right adjoint, reflects equalizers. In particular,  $(\sigma X_1)^*(G(\rightrightarrows))$  is an equalizer diagram in  $\mathbb{E}|_{\sigma X_1}$  (because  $I^*(G(\rightrightarrows))$  is, in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$ , by Step II).

Step IV. We finally show  $G(\rightrightarrows)$  is an equalizer diagram in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Suppose  $f: Y \longrightarrow GX_2$  is a map equalizing the pair  $GX_2 \rightrightarrows GX_3$ . It follows that the composition  $Y \longrightarrow GX_2 \longrightarrow X_2$  of  $f$  with the counit  $\epsilon_{X_2}$  equalizes the pair  $X_2 \rightrightarrows X_3$ , hence that there is a map  $h: Y \longrightarrow X_1$  making the box

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & GX_2 \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_{X_2} \\ X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_2 \end{array}$$

commute. But then  $\sigma Y \subseteq \sigma X_1$ , and as  $f$  factors uniquely through  $G(X_1 \rightarrow X_2)$  over  $\sigma X_1$ , by Step III, it must do so in  $\mathbb{E}$  as well, which ends the proof.

3. The necessity arguments. That the condition in Theorem A is necessary will follow from the necessity of the condition in Theorem B. For the latter, however, we need a suitable criterion for a map  $m: A \longrightarrow B$  in a

topos  $\mathbb{E}$  to be locally a split monomorphism; that criterion will center on the following construction. Given the map  $m$ , form all the cokernel pairs

$$X^B \xrightarrow{X^m} X^A \xrightarrow[y_X]{x_X} H_m(X) (= H(X))$$

of the maps  $X^m$  ( $X$  in  $\mathbb{E}$ ). Letting  $H_m (= H): \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  be the unique functor with these values for which the families  $x = \{x_X\}_X$  and  $y = \{y_X\}_X$  are natural transformations  $(-)^A \rightarrow H$ , it is clear that  $x$  and  $y$  make  $H_m$  the cokernel pair of  $(-)^m$  in the category of endofunctors on  $\mathbb{E}$ . In fact, as pulling back preserves colimits and as  $(-)^m$  is an indexed natural transformation,  $H_m$  inherits an indexed structure by which it serves (still via  $x$  and  $y$ ) as cokernel pair for  $(-)^m$  in the category  $\mathcal{S}nd(\mathbb{E})$  of indexed endofunctors on  $\mathbb{E}$ . [Motivation: the Yoneda Lemma provides a sense in which  $(-)^A$  is the indexed endofunctor on  $\mathbb{E}$  presented by a single free generator --  $\text{id}_A$  -- in the value at  $A$ ; in the same sense,  $H_m$  is the indexed endofunctor presented by two generators in  $H_m(A)$  -- the Yoneda correspondents  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  of  $x$  and  $y$  -- subject to the defining relation  $\{H_m(m)\}(\bar{x}) = \{H_m(m)\}(\bar{y})$  in  $H_m(B)$ . Thus  $H_m$  is the generic indexed endofunctor hoping to convert the map  $m$  to a non-monomorphism.]

(3.1) Lemma. The following conditions on a monomorphism  $m: A \rightarrowtail B$  in a topos  $\mathbb{E}$  are equivalent.

- (i)  $m$  is locally split.
- (ii) For each object  $X$ , the map  $X^m: X^B \rightarrow X^A$  is epic.
- (iii) Where  $(-)^B \rightarrow (-)^A \xrightarrow[y]{x} H_m$  is the cokernel pair in the category  $\mathcal{S}nd(\mathbb{E})$  (of indexed endofunctors on  $\mathbb{E}$ ) of  $(-)^m$ , the transition map  $H_m(m): H_A \rightarrow H_B$  is monic.
- (iv) The map  $A^m: A^B \rightarrow A^A$  is epic.

Proof. (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): argue as in the vicinity of (2.1.2).

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): if  $I^*m$  is a split monomorphism, then  $I^*(X^m) = (I^*X)^{(I^*m)}$  is a split epimorphism. By (i), there is an object  $I$  with  $\sigma I = 1$ , hence with  $I^*$  faithful, for which  $I^*m$  is a split monomorphism. But then, as  $I^*$  preserves epimorphisms, it reflects them, and  $X^m$  is epic.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): by (ii),  $x = y$  and  $H_m = (-)^A$ ; so  $H_m(m) = m^A$  is monic.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): let  $\bar{x} = x_A \circ \tau_A$  and  $\bar{y} = y_A \circ \tau_A$  be the global elements  $1 \rightarrow H_m A = H_A$  corresponding to  $x$  and  $y$  via Yoneda. By the definition of  $H_m = H$ ,  $H_m(\bar{x}) = H_m(\bar{y})$ ; then by (iii),  $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$ ; so  $x = y$ , by an indexed Yoneda Lemma. In particular,  $x_A = y_A$ , and (iv) follows, as the cokernel pair  $\xrightarrow[y]{x} H$  is computed pointwise.



We are now ready to prove (somewhat more than) the rest of Theorem B.

(3.2) Proposition. For any topos  $\mathbb{E}$ , the following are equivalent.

(i)  $\mathbb{E}$  is  $\sigma\text{LZ}$ .

(ii) Every indexed cotriple on  $\mathbb{E}$  is dually algebraic.

(iii) For every indexed cotriple  $G = (G, \epsilon, \delta)$  on  $\mathbb{E}$ , the functor  $G$  preserves monomorphisms.

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is Lemma (2.3).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): every monomorphism in  $\mathbb{E}$  is the equalizer of its own (coreflexive) cokernel pair. Hence any endofunctor on  $\mathbb{E}$  preserving equalizers of coreflexive pairs preserves monomorphisms. Now apply (2.2).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): to prove that an object  $A$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  is internally injective as an object of  $\mathbb{E}|_{\sigma A}$ , it suffices, by the considerations of (2.1), to show that each monomorphism  $m: A \rightrightarrows B$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  becomes locally split when pulled back into  $\mathbb{E}|_{\sigma A}$ ; and for this, in turn, it is enough to prove that  $(A \times A)^*m$  is locally a split monomorphism in  $\mathbb{E}|_{A \times A}$ . To this end, let  $H = H_m$  be the indexed endofunctor on  $\mathbb{E}$  contemplated in (3.1(iii)). As we shall see in a moment, the endofunctor  $G$  given by  $GX = X \times X \times HX$  is an indexed cotriple on  $\mathbb{E}$ , so that, by (iii),  $Gm = m \times m \times Hm$  is monic. [Were  $H$  itself an indexed cotriple,  $Hm$  would be monic and, by (3.1),  $m$  would be locally split; but this is, in general, too much to hope for.] Now, because

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times A \times HA & \xrightarrow{m \times m \times Hm} & B \times B \times HB \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ A \times A \times Hm & & m \times m \times HB \\ & \searrow & \\ & A \times A \times HB & \end{array}$$

commutes,  $A \times A \times Hm$  is monic in  $\mathbb{E}$ , whence  $(A \times A)^*(Hm)$  is monic in  $\mathbb{E}|_{A \times A}$ . Using the indexedness of  $H$ , however, it is easy to verify that  $(A \times A)^*(Hm) = H^{A \times A}((A \times A)^*m)$ , and an application of (3.1) in  $\mathbb{E}|_{A \times A}$  shows  $(A \times A)^*m$  is locally split, as required.

It remains only to indicate how  $G$  is an indexed cotriple. Given any object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{E}$ , freely adjoin a (global) zero element by forming  $X+1$ ; writing  $0: 1 \rightarrow X+1$  for the injection, endow  $X+1$  with the trivial (constantly zero) semigroup multiplication  $(X+1)^2 \xrightarrow{0} 1 \xrightarrow{0} X+1$ . Next, adjoining another (global) "unit" element, convert the semigroup  $X+1$  into the monoid  $X+2 = (X+1)+1$  it freely generates. It is clear that this procedure is perfectly functorial, so that  $m: A \rightrightarrows B$  induces a monic map of monoids  $m+2: A+2 \rightrightarrows B+2$ . Notice that, whatever the map of monoids  $w: M' \rightarrow M$ , the induced functors  $(-)^M$  and  $(-)^{M'}$  are indexed cotriples, and  $(-)^w: (-)^M \rightarrow (-)^{M'}$  is a map of indexed cotriples. In particular,

$(-)^{m+2}: (-)^{B+2} \rightarrow (-)^{A+2}$  is such. To obtain the cotriple  $\mathbb{G}$ , we use:

(3.3) Lemma. The forgetful functor  $\text{Cotrip}(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\text{nd}(\mathbb{E})$ , from the category of indexed cotriples on  $\mathbb{E}$  to the category of indexed endofunctors on  $\mathbb{E}$ , creates colimits.

Proof. For any monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$ , the forgetful functor from the category  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{V})$  of monoids in  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $\mathcal{V}$  itself creates limits. So take  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}\text{nd}(\mathbb{E})^{\text{op}}$ , note that  $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{V}) = (\text{Cotrip}(\mathbb{E}))^{\text{op}}$ , and dualize for the lemma.

For  $\mathbb{G}$ , now, take the cokernel pair indicated below:

$$(-)^{B+2} \xrightarrow{(-)^{m+2}} (-)^{A+2} \xrightarrow[\text{y}]{\text{x}'} \mathbb{G}.$$

By the lemma,  $\mathbb{G}$  "is" an indexed cotriple  $\mathbb{G}$ ; on the other hand, concluding the proof, we have, for every  $X$ , a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X^{B+2} & \xrightarrow{X^{m+2}} & X^{A+2} & \xrightarrow[\text{y}']{\text{x}'} & GX \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ X \times X \times X^B & \xrightarrow{X \times X \times X^m} & X \times X \times X^A & \xrightarrow[\text{X} \times \text{X} \times \text{y}_X]{\text{X} \times \text{X} \times \text{x}_X} & X \times X \times HX. \end{array}$$

Finally, we settle the necessity of the condition in Theorem A.

(3.4) Lemma. If every cotriple on the topos  $\mathbb{E}$  is dually algebraic, then every nonzero object  $X \neq 0$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  has a global section.

Proof. There is an idempotent cotriple  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\mathbb{E}$  defined by

$$GX = \begin{cases} X, & \text{if } X \text{ has a global section } 1 \rightarrow X; \\ 0, & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

As  $\mathbb{G}$  is dually algebraic and as each  $X$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  appears as the equalizer

$$(3.4.1) \quad X \xrightarrow{\text{inj.}} X+1 \xrightleftharpoons[\text{X+codiag}]{\begin{array}{c} \text{X+inj.}_1 \\ \text{X+inj.}_2 \end{array}} X+1+1$$

of a coreflexive pair, (2.2) assures that the diagram

$$GX \rightarrow X+1 \rightrightarrows X+1+1,$$

obtained by applying  $\mathbb{G}$  to (3.4.1), remains an equalizer diagram. But then  $GX \cong X$  for all  $X$ , and the lemma holds.

(3.5) Lemma. A  $\sigma\text{LZ}$  topos  $\mathbb{E}$  in which every nonzero object has a global section is coZHD.

Proof. If  $I^*X$  is injective in  $\mathbb{E}|_I$  and  $\gamma: 1 \rightarrow I$  is a global section, then  $X \cong \gamma^* I^* X$  is injective in  $(\mathbb{E}|_I)|_\gamma \cong \mathbb{E}$ .

(3.6) Corollary (Theorem A -- necessity). If every cotriple on  $\mathbb{E}$  is dually algebraic, then  $\mathbb{E}$  is coZHD.

Proof. Apply Lemma (3.5) to Theorem B and Lemma (3.4).

#### REFERENCES

- [IGF] J.R. Isbell. General Functorial Semantics, I. Amer. J. Math. 94 (1972), 535-596.
- [LCA] F.E.J. Linton. Coequalizers in categories of algebras. [ZTB], 75-90.
- [LDC] F.E.J. Linton. Is the dual of a coalgebra category an algebra category? -- preliminary draft. Multigraph, Dalhousie U., 1970.
- [MAT] E.G. Manes. Algebraic Theories (Graduate Texts in Mathematics  $\eta^{\circ}$  26). Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1976.
- [McL] S. Mac Lane. Categories for the Working Mathematician (Graduate Texts in Mathematics  $\eta^{\circ}$  5). Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971.
- [MDT] H.-M. Meyer. Injektive Objekte in Topoi. Diss.<sup>n</sup>, U. Tübingen, 1974.
- [PAC] R. Paré. On absolute colimits. J. Alg. 19 (1971), 80-95.
- [PCT] R. Paré. Colimits in topoi. Bull. A.M.S. 80 (1974), 556-561.
- [P&S] R. Paré & D. Schumacher. Abstract families and the adjoint functor theorems. Indexed Categories and their Applications. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics  $\eta^{\circ}$  661, 1978, pp. 1-125.
- [RAF] R. Rosebrugh. Abstract Families of Algebras. Diss.<sup>n</sup>, Dalhousie U., 1977.
- [ZTB] Seminar on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics  $\eta^{\circ}$  80, 1969.

F.E.J. Linton	R. Paré
Mathematics Department	Mathematics Department
Wesleyan University	Dalhousie University
Middletown, CT 06457 USA	Halifax, NS B3H 4R8 CANADA