• Rank the data. That is, replace the data values by their ranks, from smallest to largest. For example, the pH samples are:

are replaced by the ranks

The tied values (7.85, 7.85) would have had ranks 11 and 12 were they slightly different. In the case of ties, assign the "mid-rank" [here $(11+12)/2$] to both values.

- Calculate W , which is the sum of the ranks in the first group. In this case, $W = 123.5.$
- In small samples we compare W to the distribution of values of W under all possible allocations of the ranks to the two samples.
- In larger samples we use a normal approximation to this distribution.
- Let n_1 be the number of observations in the first group, n_2 the number in the second group, and $N = n_1 + n_2$. Here $n_1 = n_2 = 9$ and $N = 18$.
- Under the null hypothesis that the two distributions are the same, the mean and variance of W are

$$
\mu_W = n_1(N+1)/2
$$

and

$$
\sigma_W^2 = n_1 n_2 (N+1)/12
$$

• the observed value of the test statistic is

$$
Z_{obs} = \frac{W - \mu_W}{\sigma_W} = \frac{(123.5 - 9 \times 19/2)}{\sqrt{9 \times 9 \times 19/12}} = 3.355
$$

• As with the permutation test, the null hypothesis is that the population distributions are the same, and the two sided alternative is that the distributions are different.

• The hypotheses can also be written in terms of means. Where μ_1 and μ_2 are the means of the two populations, the null hypothesis is $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$. The possible alternatives and p-values are:

$$
H_A \quad \text{p-value}
$$

\n
$$
\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \quad 2P(Z > |Z_{obs}|)
$$

\n
$$
\mu_1 > \mu_2 \quad P(Z > Z_{obs})
$$

\n
$$
\mu_1 < \mu_2 \quad P(Z < Z_{obs})
$$

• For example, with the two sided alternative, the p-value is

 $2P(Z > |3.355|) = 2(.0004) = .0008$

Comparison of Wilcoxon, Permutation and t-tests

• What p-value did the permutation test give in this case?

The test statistic for the permutation test was $|\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2| = 0.595556$.

With a two sided alternative, there were $\sqrt{ }$ \mathcal{L} 18 9 \setminus $= 48620$ possible arrangements of the data into two groups of size 9, of which 8 gave at least as much evidence against H_0 as did the value 3.335. Hence the p-value for the permutation test was

 $P = 8/48620 = .0002.$

- The p-value for the pooled t-test was 0.0002.
- In this case, the Wilcoxon, permutation and t-tests all show very strong evidence against the null hypothesis of equal distributions.
- Typically, the p-values for the permutation and Wilcoxon test will be fairly close if the sample size is moderately large.
- If the data are approximately normally distributed and the assumption of equal variances holds, the p-value for the pooled t-test will be fairly close to those for the Wilcoxon and permutation tests.

another example: $n_1=n_2=3$ with data

- The treatment ranks are $1,2,4$.
- The control ranks are $3,5,6$.
- The sum of the treatment ranks is $W = 7$.
- In this case is it possible to consider all possible permutations of the ranks between the two samples

• The distribution of W under H_0 is summarized in the table below

- The probability of getting a value for W as small or smaller than $W_{obs} = 7$ is 2/20, and the probability of getting a value as extreme or more extreme is $P = 4/20 = .2$
- Under the null hypothesis, the mean of W is $3 \times 7/2 = 10.5$ and the variance of *W* is $3 \times 3 \times 7/12 = 5.25$.
- Using the normal approximation to the null distribution of W , the observed test statistic is $Z_{obs} = (7 - 10.5) /$ √ $5.25 = -1.53$.
- With the two sided alternative, the p-value is

 $2P(Z > |-1.53|) = 2P(Z > 1.53) = 2(.063) = .126$

• Even with such small samples, the two approaches give quite similar answers.